

PNL ve açık böbrek taşı cerrahisi sonrası rekürrens*Recurrence after PNL and open renal stone surgery***Mansur Dağgüllü, Mehmet Mazhar Utangaç, Onur Dede, Mehmet Nuri Bodakçı, Necmettin Penbegül, Ahmet Ali Sancaktutar, Süleyman Çakmakçı, Yaşar Bozkurt**

University of Dicle, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Urology

Özet

Amaç: Nefrolitiazis nedeniyle perkütan nefrolitotripsi (PNL) veya açık cerrahi geçiren hastalarda taş rekürrens oranını karşılaştırmak.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Ocak 2006-Mayıs 2009 tarihleri arasında cerrahi tedavi uygulanan böbrek taşı tanılı hasta geriye dönük olarak incelendi. Toplam 38 hasta çalışmaya alındı. Grup 1 (n:20) hastaya açık cerrahi uygulanırken Grup 2 (n:18) hastaya ise PNL uygulandı. Cerrahi sonrası non-opak ve rezidü taşı olan hastalar çalışma dışı bırakıldı. Taş taraması için idrar analizi, radyografi ve non-kontrast bilgisayarlı tomografi yapıldı. Hastaların yaş, cinsiyet, hastanede kalış süresi, preoperatif taş yükü, postoperatif takip süresi ve postoperatif taş yükü kaydedildi.

Bulgular: Grup 1 ve grup 2 deki erkek / kadın oranı sırasıyla 11/9 ve 10/8 dir. Yaş ortalaması 1. grupta $41,9 \pm 13,58$ ve 2. grupta $36,22 \pm 14,3$ yıl idi. Ameliyat öncesi taş yükü PNL grubunda $329,46 \pm 249,66$ mm² iken açık cerrahi grubunda $390,72 \pm 200,12$ mm² idi. Taş rekürrensi açık cerrahi grubunda sadece % 20 iken PNL grubunda % 61 olarak saptandı. Ameliyat sonrası kontrollerde taş yükü Grup 2'de Grup 1'e nazaran anlamlı derecede daha yüksek bulundu ($p=0.40$).

Sonuç: PNL son zamanlarda böbrek taşı ameliyatları için daha sık kullanılır olmuştur. Taş nüks oranı rezidüel fragmanlar nedeniyle perkütan nefrolitotripsi sonrası artabilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: PNL, nüks taş, böbrek taşı, perkütan nefrolitotripsi

Abstract

Objective: To compare the rate of stone recurrence in patients who underwent percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PNL) or open surgery because of nephrolithiasis.

Material and Methods: Between January 2006-May 2009 with diagnosis of nephrolithiasis who underwent surgical treatment were reviewed retrospectively. Totally 38 patients were included to study. Group 1 (n: 20) underwent open surgery, while Group 2 (n: 18) patients underwent PNL. The patients whom have non-opaque and residual stones after surgery were excluded from the study. By the controls urine analysis, plain radiography and non-contrast computer tomography were performed for screening stones. Patients' age, gender, duration of hospital stay, preoperative stone burden, postoperative follow-up period and postoperative stone burden were recorded.

Results: The ratio of male/female in group 1 and group 2 is 11/9 and 10/8 respectively. The mean age was $41,9 \pm 13,58$ in group 1 and $36,22 \pm 14,3$ years in group 2. Preoperative stone burden was $329,46 \pm 249,66$ mm² in PNL group while $390,72 \pm 200,12$ mm² in open surgery group. Stone recurrence was detected in 61 % (11/18) of the patients treated with PNL, while only in 20 % (4/20) of the patients in the open surgery group. Postoperative control stone burden was significantly higher in group 2 than in group 1 ($p=0.40$).

Conclusion: PNL has been used more frequently for renal stone surgeries recently. The stone recurrence rate may increase after percutaneous nephrolithotripsy due to insignificant residual fragments.

Key Words: PNL, Stone recurrence, urolithiasis, percutaneous nephrolithotripsy

Geliş tarihi (Submitted): 09.03.2014

Kabul tarihi (Accepted): 23.09.2014

Yazışma / Correspondence

Prof. Dr. Mansur DAĞGÜLLÜ
Dicle University, Medical Faculty,
Department of Urology,
Diyarbakir, Turkey
Tel: 0505 776 69 27
Fax: 0412 248 84 40
E-mail: mansurdaggulu@yahoo.com

Introduction

Although in American Urological Association (AUA) and European Association of Urology (EAU) guidelines; extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) is the first alternative in the management of renal stones, for stones larger than 2cm in diameter which cannot be fragmented because of their hardness, and location, percutaneous nephrolithotripsy (PNL) faces us as the first alternative(1, 2). Therefore, the incidence of open stone surgery which was the gold standard in 1970s has dropped to 1 percent (3, 4). Nowadays, open stone surgery is only applied following - unsuccessful endourological interventions, in complex or recurrent cases which stone clearance is thought to be impossible within an acceptable time frame using only endourological methods (5). Ureteropelvic junction (UPJ) stenosis, complex stones with larger stone burden, non-functional kidney or renal pole, larger obstructive caliceal stones, especially anteriorly located caliceal diverticular stones constitute other indications for open renal surgery (5). Even if PNL operation has found such a larger field of application, still some unanswered questions exist about the issue of stone recurrence (6-12).

Development of stone recurrence is frequently observed in urinary stone disease, and recurrence rates approaching 50 % have been reported within 5-7 years, postoperatively (13-14). In a study comparing patients managed by extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) or open renal surgery, stone recurrence was found to be 13.9, and 31.8 %, respectively (15). The reason for lower incidence of stone recurrence in ESWL has been stated to be its lower stone burden when compared with the cases operated with open surgery (15). Stone recurrence rates were found to be 0-17%, 22.3%, 27%, and 31.4 % at the end of 1, 2, 3, and 5-years of follow-up (6, 9-12). As stone recurrence rates, urinary system infection, renal failure, smoking habit, anatomic abnormalities, and stone remnants were indicated as the most important factors (16).

In this study, stone recurrence rates in patients who had undergone open renal stone surgery, and PNL were retrospectively investigated. As far as we know, this is the first study comparing stone recurrence rates after these two operations.

Materials and Methods

Patients who had undergone open renal surgery, and

PNL operation in our clinics between January 2006, and May 2009 with the diagnosis of renal stone were included in the study. Group 1 consisted of 20 cases who had open renal surgery, and Group 2 included 18 cases who had undergone PNL operation in both groups, cases with solitary renal stones were enrolled in the study. Patients with metabolic disease (hyperparathyroidism), lower urinary system anomalies, and congenital renal anomalies (horseshoe kidney, polycystic kidney, infundibular, and ureteropelvic stricture), those who had undergone combined procedures, and/or cases with non-opaque stones, and postoperative residual stones were not included in the study. In all cases stone-free state was evaluated by direct urinary system radiograms (KUB) obtained on postoperative 1st day. Age, gender, duration of hospitalization, preoperative stone burden, and follow-up periods of the patients were recorded. In all patients called for a return visit, complete urinalysis, KUB, and non-contrasted spiral computerized tomography (CT) examinations were performed to investigate the occurrence of stone recurrence (if any).

Preoperatively in all cases, complete blood counts, biochemical parameters, bleeding- coagulation values, complete urinalysis, and urine culture findings were evaluated. Preoperatively, all patients were assessed with non-contrasted spiral CT and/or intravenous urography (IVU) so as to determine renal anatomy, and location/ dimensions of stone(s). Cases with positive urine cultures were treated with appropriate antibiotics. The stone size was calculated in mm² by multiplication the largest diameter of the stone by the diameter crossing it perpendicularly.

For comparative intergroup analysis of the data, Fisher's exact test, Mann- Whitney U test, independent sample *t* test, and Pearson *chi*- square test were used.

Results

Comparisons of patients' characteristics, and data related to surgical interventions of both groups are presented in Table 1. A significant difference between groups as for gender, age, preoperative stone burden, and follow-up period did not exist. Open surgery was performed on 10 male, and 8 female patients, while 10 male, and 8 female patients received PNL treatment. Mean ages for patients managed with open surgery or PNL were 41.9±13.58,

Table 1: Data of group 1 and group 2

	Group-1 (Open Surgery)	Group-2 (PNL)	p
Male/Female	11/9	10/8	0.90
Age (year)	41.9±13.58	36.22±14.3	0.21
Preoperative stone burden (mm ²)	390,72 ± 200,12	329,46 ± 249,66	0.40
Follow-up time (month)	23.65 ± 6.62	28±13.35	0.20
Stone recurrence rate at control	4/20	11/18	<0.05
Stone burden at control	11,77 ±26,29	30,51 ± 37,44	<0.05
Duration of hospitalization (day)	8.25 ± 2.38	1.66 ± 1,18	<0.05

and 36.22±14.3 years, respectively. Preoperative stone burden was 329.46 ± 249.66 mm² in the PNL, and 390.72 ± 200.12 mm² in the open surgery groups, respectively. Mean follow-up periods were 28±13.35 months in the PNL, and 23.65 ± 6.62 months in the open surgery group. Stone recurrence was detected in 61 % (11/18) of the patients treated with PNL, while only in 20 % (4/20) of the patients in the open surgery group (p<0.05). Mean stone burden in patients who developed recurrences was 30.51 ± 37.44 mm² in the PNL group, and 11.77 ±26.29 mm² in the open surgery group. Stone burden was significantly higher in the PNL patients who developed recurrences (p<0.05). Duration of hospital-stay was significantly shorter in patients who had undergone PNL (p<0.05)

Discussion

Although in the guidelines the first alternative in the management of renal stones is ESWL, for stones larger than 2cm which cannot be fragmented because of their hardness and location; PNL faces us as the first alternative (1, 2). Nowadays, the rate of open renal stone surgery has dropped down to about 1 percent (3, 4). Even if PNL operation has found such a larger field of application, still some unanswered questions exist about the issue of stone recurrence during long-term follow-ups (6-12, 17).

In studies performed, presence of a positive urine culture, and a complex residual stone larger than 5 mm further increased the risk of stone recurrence (11). In a study conducted by Zilberman *et al*, as risk factors for stone recurrence, urinary system infection, renal failure, smoking habit, anatomic anomalies, and also as the most important factor residual stone fragments were indicated(16). According to Kosar *et al*, the incidence of stone recurrence after stone surgery varies with preoperative stone burden, and postoperative stone-free rate (15).

In our study any significant intergroup differences were not found as for gender, age, preoperative stone burden, and follow-up period. Open surgery was performed on 10 male, and 8 female patients , while 10 male, and 8 female patients received PNL treatment Preoperative stone burden was 329.46 ± 249.66 mm² in the PNL , and 390.72 ± 200.12 mm² in the open surgery groups, respectively. Any significant intergroup difference was not found with respect to preoperative stone burden.

In a comparative study performed by Kosar *et al* stone recurrence rates after ESWL, and open surgery were found to be 13, and 31%, respectively. Etiologic factors for higher stone recurrence rates after open surgery were listed as increased stone burden in patients who were treated with open surgery, increased rates of culture positivity, renal tissue damage, and postoperative sedentary lifestyle led by the patients (15). Zilberman *et al*, indicated that higher recurrence rates in patients who didn't receive any medical post-PNL therapy were related to residual stone fragments (16). Study by Assimos *et al* found relatively higher stone-free rates in cases who had undergone open surgery (18). In our study stone recurrence rates were 61 % (11/18) in patients treated by PNL, and only 20 % (4/20) in cases managed by open surgery (p<0.05) Since all cases included in the study consisted of patients with solitary renal stones, lower incidence of recurrence in open stone surgery has been attributed to the removal of stones as a single piece without being fragmented. However in patients who had undergone PNL, postoperative residual stone fragments undetectable with imaging modalities cannot be avoided. Higher postoperative stone recurrence in the PNL group has been attributed to this phenomenon.

Various studies performed have found post-PNL sto-

ne recurrence rates as 0-17%, 22.3 %, 27 % and 31.4 % after 1, 2, 3, and 5 years of follow-up periods, respectively (6,9-12). In a study, post-ESWL stone recurrence was detected to be 13.4 % after an average of 2 years following ESWL (15). Still in the same study recurrence rate was found to be 31.8 % after an average of one year following open stone surgery. However in our study, after mean follow-up periods of 28 ± 13.35 , and 23.65 ± 6.62 months after PNL, and open surgery, respectively, the corresponding recurrence rates were 61, and 20 percent. In our study, stone recurrence rates after open surgery complied with the literature, while they were relatively higher in the PNL group. Higher stone recurrence rates in the PNL group have been attributed to higher regional predisposition to stone recurrence, lack of postoperative medical therapy after open surgery, and assessment of stone-free rates only with plain radiograms. Similarly, in the literature studies reporting post-PNL recurrence rates have used KUB for the evaluation of these rates. In recent publications, in the evaluation of post-PNL stone-free rates, success rates were seen to be 100 % for non-contrasted CT, and 87.5 % for KUB (19, 20). These findings have demonstrated that non-contrasted CT is the most sensitive modality in the evaluation of postoperative stone-free rates. If non-contrasted CT had been used for the postoperative evaluation of stone recurrence rates, higher recurrence rates would have been seen.

Mean stone burden in patients with recurrent stone disease in the PNL, and open surgery groups were 30.51 ± 37.44 mm², and 11.77 ± 26.29 mm², respectively ($p < 0.05$) However, recurrent stones in these patients were clinically insignificant without requiring any intervention.

In our study mean hospitalization periods were 8.25 ± 2.38 and 4.66 ± 1.18 days in Groups 1 and 2, respectively. Hospitalization period was significantly shorter in the PNL group ($p < 0.05$). In a study conducted by Al-Kohlany *et al.* PNL was found to be advantageous with respect to shorter hospitalization period, and earlier return to work, while it had similar stone-free, and stone recurrence rates compared with the open surgery group (21). Although, in our study hospitalization period was detected to be shorter in the PNL group which was in compliance with the literature, stone recurrence rates of the patients, and

earlier onset of mobilization did not comply with the literature findings.

Conclusion

PNL is a widely used treatment modality for kidney stone management recently. Postoperative stone recurrence rates might be higher in patients who underwent PNL due to stone fragments that not encountered during open surgery. However, more detailed studies are required to be able to reach more explicit information.

References

1. Menon M RM: Urinary lithiasis: Etiology, diagnosis, and medical management; in Sounders PCW (ed.: Patrick C. Walsh. Sounders, 2002, vol 8
2. C. Türk, T. Knoll, A. Petrik, K. Sarica, A. Skolarikos, M. Straub, C. Seitz. Guidelines on Urolithiasis. European Association of Urology 2014. Urolithiasis J Urol 2007;178:2418-34.
3. Matlaga BR, Assimos DG. Changing indications of open stone surgery. Urology 2002;59:490-3; discussion 493-4.
4. Kane CJ, Bolton DM, Stoller ML. Current indications for open stone surgery in an endourology center. Urology 1995;45:218-21.
5. Paik ML, Resnick MI. Is there a role for open stone surgery? Urol Clin North Am 2000;27:323-31.
6. Shah HN, Kharodawala S, Sodha HS, Khandkar AA, Hegde SS, Bansal MB. The management of renal matrix calculi: A single-centre experience over 5 years. BJU Int 2009;103:810-4.
7. Krambeck AE, LeRoy AJ, Patterson DE, Gettman MT. Long-term outcomes of percutaneous nephrolithotomy compared to shock wave lithotripsy and conservative management. J Urol 2008;179:2233-7.
8. Rowley MW, Faerber GJ, Wolf JS, Jr. The university of michigan experience with percutaneous nephrostolithotomy for urinary matrix calculi. Urology 2008;72:61-4.
9. Carr LK, J DAH, Jewett MA, Ibanez D, Ryan M, Bombardier C. New stone formation: A comparison of extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and percutaneous nephrolithotomy. J Urol 1996;155:1565-7.
10. Strem SB. Long-term incidence and risk factors for recurrent stones following percutaneous nephrostolithotomy or percutaneous nephrostolithotomy/extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for infection related calculi. J Urol 1995;153:584-7.
11. Saad F, Faucher R, Mauffette F, Paquin JM, Perreault JP, Valiquette L. Staghorn calculi treated by percutaneous nephrolithotomy: Risk factors for recurrence. Urology 1993;41:141-3.
12. Henriksson C, Geterud K, Pettersson S, Zachrisson BF.

- Stone recurrences in kidneys made stone-free by percutaneous extraction. *Scand J Urol Nephrol* 1993;27:151-3.
13. Parmar MS. Kidney stones. *BMJ* 2004;328:1420-4.
 14. Uribarri J, Oh MS, Carroll HJ. The first kidney stone. *Ann Intern Med* 1989;111:1006-9.
 15. Kosar A, Sarica K, Aydos K, Kupeli S, Turkolmez K, Gogus O. Comparative study of long-term stone recurrence after extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and open stone surgery for kidney stones. *Int J Urol* 1999;6:125-9.
 16. Zilberman DE, Preminger GM. Long-term results of percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Does prophylactic medical stone management make a difference? *J Endourol* 2009;23:1773-6.
 17. Soylemez H, Altunoluk B, Onem K, Oğuz F. Minimally painful retrieval of ureteral stent by using ureteroscopy *J Clin Exp Inves* 2010;1: 7-11.
 18. Assimos DG, Wrenn JJ, Harrison LH, et al. A comparison of anatomic nephrolithotomy and percutaneous nephrolithotomy with and without extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for management of patients with staghorn calculi. *J Urol* 1991;145:710-4.
 19. Osman Y, El-Tabey N, Refai H, et al. Detection of residual stones after percutaneous nephrolithotomy: Role of nonenhanced spiral computerized tomography. *J Urol* 2008;179:198-200; discussion 200.
 20. Skolarikos A, Papatsoris AG. Diagnosis and management of postpercutaneous nephrolithotomy residual stone fragments. *J Endourol* 2009;23:1751-55.
 21. Al-Kohlany KM, Shokeir AA, Mosbah A, et al. Treatment of complete staghorn stones: A prospective randomized comparison of open surgery versus percutaneous nephrolithotomy. *J Urol* 2005;173:469-73.